

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Date:- 08.02.2016

Coram

The Honourable Mr.Justice **R.SUBBIAH**

Contempt Petition No.1563 of 2015

R.Vimala Petitioner

..vs..

1. Mr.MU.DEVADHAS
THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION
COLLEGE ROAD, CHENNAI

2. Mr.K.HEMNATH
THE SECRETARY
PACHAIYAPPAS TRUST BOARD
PACHAIYAPPAS COLLEGE CAMPUS, CHENNAI.

... Respondents

The Contempt Petition is filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 70/71, to punish the Respondents for their deliberate and wilful disobedience to the orders of this Court dated 26.02.2014 made in W.P.No.5659 of 2014.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Renganathan
For Respondent-1 : Mrs.P.Rajalakshmi
Government Advocate

For Respondent-2 : Mr.M.Devendran

O R D E R

The petitioner herein filed a Writ Petition for

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> : The petitioner filed mandamus calling for the records from the

second respondent, viz., The Secretary, Pachaiyappa's Trust Board, Chennai, in Advertisement No.03/2013, dated 12.12.2013, in respect of the filling up the vacancy of Librarian Post in Pachaiyappa's college, Chennai, and quash the same in respect of the Librarian Post alone and further directing the second respondent to appoint the petitioner as Librarian in Pachaiyappa's College, Chennai.

2. Pending Writ Petition, the petitioner has also taken up a Miscellaneous Petition in M.P.No.2 of 2014 seeking an order of injunction restraining the first respondent - Director of Collegiate Education, Chennai, from granting approval to the appointment of the third respondent - Tmt.S.Meena, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition. When the matter came up for admission on 26.02.2015, this Court has passed an order of status quo with regard to the approval of appointment of third respondent. But inspite of the order passed by this Court, approval was granted by the Joint Director of the Collegiate Education. Hence, the petitioner has come forward with the present Contempt Petition.

3.Today when the matter is taken up for consideration, learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the first respondent submits that the ***approval of appointment of third respondent in W.P.No.5659/2014** was withdrawn and she has also produced an order dated 03.02.2016 withdrawing the approval of appointment of third respondent **in**

W.P.No.5659/2014.

4. Since the approval of appointment of third respondent * **in W.P.No.5659/14** itself is withdrawn, I am of the opinion that the Contempt Petition can be closed. Hence, the Contempt Petition is closed.

mra

SD/
DEPUTY REGISTRAR(OS)

*** Corrected as per order
of this Court dt. 11.04.2016
in Contempt Petition No.1563/2015**

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2016.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

PE/CO/27/02/2016

One CC to Government Pleader, SR.1589

One CC to Mr.D.Ranganathan, Advocate, SR.1566

Smi/CO/12/04/2016

One CC to Mr.M.Devendran, Advocate SR.No.4637/2016

WEB COPY