

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:20.07.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.4850 of 2014

1. Amalorpavamary
2. I.Anantha Ezhilarasu ..Petitioners

[1st petitioner is rep.by his
power agent, the 2nd petitioner herein]

vs.

The District Revenue Officer
Kancheepuram District
Kancheepuram. ... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent to dispose of the petitioners representation dated 13.12.2013 by completing the enquiry within the time limit, that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Balachanderan

For Respondents : Mr.P.Karthikeyan
Government Advocate

ORDER

Heard.

2.This writ petition has been filed praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS, directing the respondent to dispose of the representation of the petitioners, dated 13.12.2013, by completing the enquiry within the time limit, that may be fixed by this Court.

3. At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent had submitted that the petitioners ought to have made their representation to the Tahsildar concerned, with regard to the issuance of pattas, in respect of the property in question, and not the District Revenue Officer. He had further submitted that the petitioners may be directed to submit a fresh representation

to the Tahsildar concerned within a time frame, and on such representation being made, the Tahsildar concerned may be directed by this Court, to pass appropriate orders thereon.

4. In view of the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent, the petitioners are permitted to make a fresh representation, with regard to the issuance of pattas, in respect of the land in question, to the Tahsildar concerned, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, along with a copy of this order. On such representation being received from the petitioners, the Tahsildar concerned, shall consider and dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this Court, by this order, has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

5. In such circumstances, this writ petition stands ordered with the above observation. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

The District Revenue Officer
Kancheepuram District
Kancheepuram.

+1cc to the Government Pleader Sr.41516

W.P.No.4850 of 2014

svi[co]
srg 10/08/2016

WEB COPY