

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :17.02.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM

R.A.No.170 of 2014
(CRP NPD 1658 of 2014)

R.Govindan

... Applicant

Vs.

1.V.Nataraja Reddiar (Deceased)

2.Rajalakshmi

3.Vasudevan

4.Chendrasekar

5.Vijayakumar

6.Mallilka

7.Durairaj

8.Gandhi

9.Jayanthi

[Petitioners 2 to 9 brought on record as Lrs of the deceased
appellant vide order of Court dated 13.03.2012 made in
CMP No.252 of 2012]

10.The Assistant Commissioner

(Land Reforms)

Tiruchirappalli.

.. Respondents

Prayer : Review Application has been filed against the order dated 10.08.2012
passed in C.R.P.(NPD) No. 1658 of 2004.

For Applicant : Mr.M.Ravi

For Respondents : R1 Died
Mr.M.Rajaraman - R2-R9

ORDER

Heard Mr.M.Ravi, learned counsel appearing for the review applicant and Mr.M.Rajaraman, learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 o 9.

2.This Review Application has been filed to review the order and direction issued by this Court in C.R.P.(NPD) No. 1658 of 2004 dated 10.08.2012.

3.After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the materials placed on record including the grounds of review, it is evidently clear that the petitioner is attempting to re-argue the entire case once over again. Hence, the question of entertaining a Review Application does not arise, moreso, when the learned counsel for the Review Applicant is not able to point out any error which is apparent on the face of the record. Further more, change of counsel is no ground to entertain the Review Application.

4.Accordingly, the Review Application is dismissed. No costs.

17.02.2016

rpa

To

The Assistant Commissioner (Land Reforms)
Tiruchirappalli.

T.S.SIVAGNANAM J,
rpa

R.A.No.170 of 2014

17.02.2016