

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.9713 of 2014

and

M.P.No.1 of 2014

K.Pichandi

..Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector
Tiruvannamalai District
Tiruvannamalai.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Revenue Office, Cheyyar,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3.Tmt.Durai Manimekalai
Tahsildar, Arni Taluk
Tiruvannamalai District.

4.Thiru.N.Mani
Deputy Superintendent of Police
Arni - 632 301
Tiruvannamalai District.

5.V.Thirunaganam
President, Marusur Panchayat Union
Marusur Village, Araiyaam Post
Arni Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

..Respondents

The writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issue of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 17.3.2014 and direct the respondents 3 and 4 not to participate in their official capacity on 9.4.2014 in the festival in Shri Panchallamman Temple, Marusur Village, Araiyaam Post, Arni Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

For Petitioner	: M/s.T. Dhanasekaran
For Respondents	
Nos.1 to 4	: Mr.R. Ravichandran
	Addl. Govt. Pleader

For Respondent No.5 : No Appearance

- - - - -

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondents.

2.This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 17.3.2014 and direct the respondents 3 and 4 not to participate in their official capacity in the festival, scheduled to be held on 9.4.2014, in Shri Panchallamman Temple, Marusur Village, Araiyalam Post, Arni Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

3. It has been stated that the temple festival called, "Akkini Vasantha Mahotsavam" was scheduled to be conducted, in Shri Panchallamman Temple, Marusur Village, from 30.03.2014 to 21.04.2014.

4. The main grievance of the petitioner is that, he had not been invited to participate in the said festival and therefore, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4 had submitted that the temple festival had already been conducted, on 9.4.2014, and therefore, the petition has become infructuous.

6. The learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner had not disputed the said submission made by the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4.

In such circumstances, the writ petition has become infructuous. Hence, it is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected M.P. is closed.

rnb

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1.The District Collector
Tiruvannamalai District
Tiruvannamalai.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Revenue Office
Cheyyar, Tiruvannamalai District.


3.The Tahsildar, Arni Taluk
Tiruvannamalai District.

4.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Arni - 632 301
Tiruvannamalai District.

+ 1 cc to The Govt.Pleader, Sr 43068

KR/3/9/16

W.P.No.9713 of 2014
and
M.P.No.1 of 2014



WEB COPY