

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.04.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU

H.C.P.No.2954/2015

Palaniyammal

..... Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. by its Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Vepery,
Chennai-600 007

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS to call for the records of relating to the detention order in Memo No.1133/BCDFGISSSV/2015, dated 29.10.2015, passed by the 2nd respondent under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 and set aside the same and direct the respondent to produce the petitioner's son Karthi @ Mariappan, S/o.Devadoss, aged about 23 years, the detenu, now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set the petitioner's son Karthi @ Mariappan, Son of Devadoss, aged about 23 years, the detention herein at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Gopi Krishnan

For Respondents : Mr.A.N.Thambidurai,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN,J]

This Habeas Corpus Petition is filed, by the mother of the detenu, namely, Karthi @ Mariappan, aged 23 years, Son of Devadoss, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the

records, in BCDFGISSSV No.1133 of 2015, dated 29.10.2015, passed by the 2nd Respondent, detaining the detenu, under Section 3(1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14/1982), the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982, branding him as a "Goonda", in the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and to quash the same and to direct the Respondents to produce the body of the detenu and set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records carefully.

3. Though, several grounds have been raised in this Habeas Corpus Petition, Mr.D.Gopi Krishnan, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, had assailed the impugned detention order mainly on the ground that the detaining authority had stated, in Paragraph No.4 of the order of detention, that no bail application had been moved on behalf of the detenu, in R-6 Kumaran Nagar Police Station Crime No.2625 of 2015. However, in the detention order it had been stated that the relatives of the detenu are taking action to take him out on bail, in R-6 Kumaran Nagar Police Station Crime No.2625 of 2015, by filing bail application before the appropriate Court. It had also been pointed out that no statement had been recorded from the relatives of the detenu with regard to the claim that they are taking steps to move bail application, on behalf of the detenu and no such statement had been furnished to the detenu.

4. The said submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, had not been refuted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. It is noted from the records available that no statements had been recorded from the relatives concerned to substantiate the claim that they are taking steps to move bail application on behalf of the detenu, to take him out on bail, in the above said case. In such circumstances, we find that there is non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority, in passing the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the detention order.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order, dated 29.10.2015, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released forthwith unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

msk
To

1. The Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St. George
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Vepery, Chennai-600 007.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
(In duplicate for communication to Detenu)
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
5. The Joint Secretary to Government
Public Law and Order fort st. George
Chennai- 09

WEB COPY

H.C.P.No.2954/2015

aa27/04/2016