

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.07.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.4346 of 2014

R.Parvathy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
rep.by the District Collector
Office of the District Collector
Villupuram.

2. The Tahsildar
Office of the Revenue Tahsildar
Gingee, Villupuram District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to carry out the amendments in Revenue records and to issue patta in favour of the petitioner in respect of the land in S.No.138/1B, Annamangalam Village, Gingee Taluk, Villupuram District.

For Petitioner : Mr.L.Rajasekar

For Respondents : Mr.P.Karthikeyan
Government Advocate

सत्यमेव जयते
ORDER

Heard.

2.This writ petition has been filed praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS, directing the respondents to carry out the amendments in the Revenue records, and to issue patta in favour of the petitioner, in respect of the land, in S.No.138/1B, Annamangalam Village, Gingee Taluk, Villupuram District, by considering her representation, dated 22.07.2013.

3.Though various grounds have been raised in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that it would suffice, if the second respondent is directed to dispose of the petitioner's representation, dated 22.07.2013, on merits and in accordance with law, within a specified period.

4.The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has no objection for such an order being passed by this Court.

5.In view of the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on either side, the second respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 22.07.2013, and pass appropriate orders thereon, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, one Muniyammal, who is said to be the mother-in-law of the petitioner, as well as to the other persons concerned, if any. The petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the representation, dated 22.07.2013, to the second respondent, along with a copy of this order. However, it is made clear that this Court, by this order, has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

6.The writ petition is disposed of, with the above direction. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vj2

To

1. The District Collector
State of Tamil Nadu
Office of the District Collector
Villupuram.

2. The Tahsildar
Office of the Revenue Tahsildar
Gingee, Villupuram District.

+1cc to Mr.L.Rajasekar, Advocate, S.R.No.40766
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.41317

W.P.No.4346 of 2014

TM(CO)
CA(08/08/2016)