

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.01.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR  
and  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

H.C.P.No.1988 of 2015

Sivakumar @ Siva

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamilnadu  
Rep. by the Secretary to Government  
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department  
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police  
Coimbatore Police, Coimbatore.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records relating to the detenu's detention order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in his order No.16/G/IS/2015 dated 27.03.2015 approved by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and set aside the same and produce the detenu Sivakumar @ Siva, aged 39 years, son of Mani, now detained in Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Veeraragavan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Maharaja,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by P.N.PRAKASH, J.]

Challenge is made to the order of detention passed by the second respondent vide Proceedings in No.16/G/IS/2015 dated 27.03.2015, whereby the detenu/ the petitioner herein, was branded as a "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of

Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamilnadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Though many grounds have been raised in the petition, Mr. B. Veeraragavan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, confines his argument only in respect of non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority in passing the order of detention.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that page No. 167 and certain other pages in the booklet furnished to the detenu are illegible and could not be read at all. This illegible copies would deprive the detenu of making effective representation to the authorities against the order of detention. Thus, the detention order is vitiated on these grounds and the same is liable to be quashed.

4. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the order of detention has been passed on cogent and sufficient materials and the same cannot be interfered with at the instance of the petitioner. Therefore, he submits that the Habeas Corpus Petition does not merit any consideration and the same is liable to be dismissed.

5. We have heard the learned counsel for both sides with regard to the facts.

6. A perusal of the booklet supplied to the detenu would show that the copies of documents referred and relied upon and referred to by the Detaining Authority, in particular, page No. 167 etc., are illegible and are totally unreadable. This has resulted in the detenu being deprived of making an effective representation. Therefore, the detention order is vitiated and liable to be quashed on this ground alone.

7. It is trite law that personal liberty protected under Article 21 is so sacrosanct and so high in the scale of Constitutional values that it is the obligation of the detaining authority to show that the impugned detention meticulously accords with the procedure established by law. Preventive detention is preventive and not punitive. When ordinary law of the land is sufficient to deal with, taking recourse to the preventive detention law is illegal.

8. In the light of the above facts and law, we have no hesitation in quashing the order of detention on the above mentioned ground.

9. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released forthwith unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

AP

To

1. The Secretary to Government  
State of Tamilnadu, Home,  
Prohibition & Excise Department  
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police  
Coimbatore Police, Coimbatore.
3. The Superintendent,  
Central Prison,  
Coimbatore.
4. The Joint Secretary to Government,  
Public(Law & Order), सतयमेव जयते  
Fort Saint George, Chennai - 9.
5. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.

RSY (CO)  
CA(19/01/2016)

H.C.P.No.1988 of 2015