

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.04.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU

H.C.P.No.1918 of 2015

M.Rani

.. Petitioner

vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Commissioner Office, Vepperi,
Chennai District.

2.State, rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
J-4 Police Station,
Kotturpuram,
Chennai-85.

... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus directing the second respondent to produce the detainee namely, M.Ramya, aged about 19 years, before this Court and restore her to the custody to me.

For Petitioner.. Mr.S.Vimal

For Respondents.. Mr.V.M.R.Rajentren,A.P.P.

O R D E R

(The order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN., J)

This habeas corpus petition has been filed praying that this Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to produce the detainee, namely, M.Ramya, aged about 19 years, before this Court and to set her at liberty.

2. The petitioner, who is the mother of the detainee, has stated that the detainee, who was studying second year B.Sc. Nursing course, in A.M.Chidambaram Nursery College, at Chennai, had gone missing, on 25.6.2015. Therefore, the petitioner had given a complaint, before the second respondent police. The said complaint had been registered, in Crime No.1807 of 2015, as a 'girl missing' case. As the respondent police had not taken effective steps to trace the detainee, the petitioner has preferred the present habeas corpus petition, before this Court.

3. A detailed status report, dated 12.09.2015, has been filed before this Court, by the second respondent. All the steps taken by the second respondent, in tracing the detainee, have been stated therein.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of the respondents, had submitted that it is suspected that the detainee, along with some other students, had forged the signatures in the law books of the College and therefore, she had gone missing, fearing that disciplinary action would be initiated against her. He had further submitted that all necessary steps are being taken to trace the detainee.

5. In view of the said submissions made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of the respondent, we are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this habeas corpus petition. Accordingly, the habeas corpus petition stands closed. However, the respondent police is directed to continue the investigation and take necessary steps to trace the detainee, in accordance with law.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

msk

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Commissioner Office, Vepperi,
Chennai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
J-4 Police Station,
Kotturpuram,
Chennai-85.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+ 1 cc to Mr.Vimal, Advocate Sr.27566

H.C.P.No.1918 of 2015

LRS (CO)
EU 01.06.16