

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAIWAMY

C.R.P.(NPD).No.1074 of 2014
and M.P.No.1 of 2014

1.Rajesh Bajaj

2.Anuja Bajaj

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.Mohamed Saleha

2.Srichand K.Bajaj

3.Rohit Bajaj

... Respondents

Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the fair and final decretal order dated 08.10.2013 in E.A.No.4 of 2009 in O.P.No.160 of 2001 on the file of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chennai.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Pradeep
for M/s.Iyer and Thomas

For Respondents : Mr.H.Nazirudeen (R1)
R2 & R3 - no appearance

M.DURAI SWAMY, J.
va

ORDER

Challenging the order passed in E.A.No.4 of 2009 in O.P.No.160 of 2001 by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, the respondents in the application have filed the above Civil Revision Petition.

2. Even at the time of ordering notice on 04.04.2014, this Court raised a doubt with regard to the maintainability of the Civil Revision Petition. Since the order passed by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission is an appealable order under Section 21 read with Rule 14 (A) of the Consumer Protection Act, the Civil Revision Petition filed by the petitioners under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is not maintainable and the Civil Revision Petition is rejected on the ground of maintainability of the revision. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : No
Internet : Yes
va

25.11.2016

**C.R.P.(NPD).No.1074 of 2014
and M.P.No.1 of 2014**

<http://www.judis.nic.in>