

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.08.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA

W.P. Nos.1163 & 1164 of 2012

1.R.Ram Kumar
2.C.Lingeshwaran
3.N.Mohamed Hanifa
4.M.Karthick
5.P.Samsul Sameer
6.C.Siva Prasath
7.M.Vetha Godson
8.S.Harish
9.O.Sandhyakumari
10.U.Vinayakrishnan
11.S.Sivakumar

Petitioners in W.P. No.1163 of 2012

1.C.Vinodraja
2.K.Sujatha
3.Jamaluddin Pitchaikani
4.R.Vysakh Surya
5.A.Balapriya
6.Anshi Thangam Chacko
7.P.G.Abhirami

Petitioners in W.P. No.1164 of 2012

Vs

1. The Member Secretary,
All India Council for Technical Education,
Chandralok Building, 7th Floor,
Janpath, New Delhi-1.

2.The Southern Regional Officer,
Southern Regional Office,
All India Council for Technical Education,
Shastri Bhawan, Haddows Road,
Chennai 600 006.
3.The Commissioner of Technical Education,

Guindy, Chennai 600 025.

4.Tirunelveli Anna University of Technology,
Self Financing Engineering Colleges
Management Association,
Tirunelveli.

5.The Registrar,
Anna University of Technology,
Tirunelveli.

Respondents 1 to 5 in both cases

6.Lord Jegannath College of Engineering
& Technology, rep. by its
Principal Dr.Sasikumar 6th respondent in W.P. No.1163 of 2012

6.PSN College of Engineering & Technology,
rep. by its Public Relations Officer
R.Anand 6th respondent in W.P. No.1164 of 2012

7.The Controller of Examination,
Anna University, Guindy,
Chennai 600 025. 7th respondent in both cases

Prayer in both cases : Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified mandamus calling for the records from the first respondent relating to the impugned regulations in their approval process hand book 2011-12 Appendix-1 in clause 1.2.1 and clause 1.2.4 dated 10.12.2010 for the academic year 2011-12, in so far as it relates to the insistence of minimum eligibility of 50% marks in the qualifying examination and the consequential circular of the third respondent in No.4111/J4/2011 dated 14.06.2011 quash the same and direct the respondents to approve the admission of the petitioners to MBA/MCA course from the academic year 2011-12 in the 6th respondent college.

For Petitioners in both cases : Mr.B.Rabu Manohar

For Respondents in both cases: Mrs.A.L.Ganthimathi
for R1 and R2

COMMON ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the relief sought for in these Writ Petitions has become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement to that effect. In view of the same, the Writ Petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

30.08.2016

vga

PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA,J.

vga

To

1. The Member Secretary,
All India Council for Technical Education,
Chandralok Building, 7th Floor,
Janpath, New Delhi-1.
- 2.The Southern Regional Officer,
Southern Regional Office,
All India Council for Technical Education,
Shastri Bhawan, Haddows Road,
Chennai 600 006.
- 3.The Commissioner of Technical Education,
Guindy, Chennai 600 025.
- 4.Tirunelveli Anna University of Technology,
Self Financing Engineering Colleges
Management Association,
Tirunelveli.
- 5.The Registrar,
Anna University of Technology,
Tirunelveli.
- 6.Lord Jegannath College of Engineering
& Technology, rep. by its
Principal Dr.Sasikumar
- 6.PSN College of Engineering & Technology,
rep. by its Public Relations Officer
R.Anand
- 7.The Controller of Examination,
Anna University, Guindy,
Chennai 600 025.

W.P. Nos.1163 & 1164 of 2012

30.08.2016