

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 943/2015.

Bhagyashree Prashant Wasankar

-VERSUS-

State of Maharashtra and another.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI
& A.S. CHANDURKAR, JJ.

DATE : AUGUST 30, 2016.

Heard Shri D.V. Chauhan, learned Counsel
for the petitioners and Shri Khan, learned A.P.P. for
respondent State, for some time.

2. Question is – Whether registration of
separate FIR as such is itself misconceived ?

3. Learned A.P.P. is relying upon the reply
affidavit to urge that totally new circumstances are
being pressed into service by the present complainant,
and the same need investigation.

4. It is not in dispute that one FIR and three
charge sheets on same lines are already investigated
into. About 500 individual complaints are thus already

2

looked into.

5. In this situation, whether this can be treated as an independent cause or whether it forms part and parcel of earlier investigation, is a disputed issue which we are not willing to go into at this stage.

6. In the light of the reply placed on record, we permit respondent no.1 to proceed with the investigation in accordance with law. Applicants are given liberty to approach again if they find that they are being unduly harassed or then the same facts and same cause of action is being looked into.

7. Criminal Application is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rgd.

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this judgment/order uploaded
is a true and correct copy of original signed
judgment/order.

Uploaded by : R.G. Dhuriya. Uploaded on : 31.08.2016
Pvt. Sec.