

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

Public Interest Litigation No.175/2016

Sachin Zakda ..vs.. Union of India, through its Secretary, Ministry of Information and
Broadcasting, New Delhi and ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S. V. Jaiswal, Advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. M. Naik, A.G.P. for respondent nos. 2-A, 2-B and 3.

CORAM : B. R. GAVAI & V. M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 15, 2016

Perusal of the petition would reveal that the petition is arising out of personal grievance of the petitioner on account of purchasing of product which, according to the petitioner, was advertised in a manner giving a wrong impression.

The petitioner can always, in his individual capacity, sue the persons on whose erroneous representation, he was compelled to purchase the product.

The Public Interest Litigation is, therefore, rejected, relegating the petitioner to the remedy available to in ordinary law.

JUDGE

JUDGE