

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.789 OF 2016

(VASANT MANIKRAO MANKAR & OTHERS..VS..STATE OF MAH. THR. PSO PS DHANTOLI & ANR.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R.D.Bhuibhar, Advocate for Applicants.
Ms Mayuri Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.
Shri Bastian, Adv. for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI AND
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATED : DECEMBER 16, 2016.

1. Heard Advocate Shri Bhuibhar for the applicants and Advocate Shri Naidu a/w Advocate Shri Bastian for respondent No.2-complainant and learned A.P.P. appears for respondent No.1.

2. It is not in dispute that in Regular Civil Appeal No. 230 of 2016 District Judge-11, Nagpur has recorded and passed a Compromise Decree. As per terms and conditions thereof, particularly paragraph 7 therein, R.C.C. No. 3188 of 2011 in the Court of J.M.F.C.-2, Nagpur arising out of Crime No. 3558 of 2011 with Police Station, Dhantoli is disposed of as amicably settled. The compromise is recorded on 15/10/2016. Perusal of order passed below Exh.1 there reveals that there same counsel represented the respective parties before the appellate Court.

3. The discharge of obligation cast upon him by applicant No.3 is not in dispute.

4. In this situation, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer (1) of the application.

5. Criminal Application (APL) No.789 of 2016 is accordingly allowed and **disposed of**.

JUDGE

JUDGE

RRaut.