

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

C.A.W. NO.2451/2015 IN WRIT PETITION NO. 2687/2001

(SATISH PURUSHOTTAM PASEBAND **VERSUS** STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & OTHERS)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri M.V. Mohokar, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri N.S. Rao, A.G.P. for the R-1.
Shri S. Wahane, counsel for the R-5.

CORAM : SMT.VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.S. CHANDURKAR, JJ.

DATE : JANUARY 6, 2016.

The prayer made in the civil application cannot be granted. The person against whom the relief is sought, is not a party to the writ petition. In fact, it is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the person against whom the interim direction is sought is not a necessary party to the writ petition.

The relief as sought by the petitioner cannot be granted in the aforesaid circumstances. The civil application stands rejected with costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE