

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Cri. Revision No. 164 of 2014

Sharad v. Pranalini and anr

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R. R. Gour, Advocate for applicant
Shri H. R. Gadhia, Advocate for respondents

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATED : OCTOBER 7, 2016.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and
learned counsel for the respondents.

Legality and correctness of the order dated 18th
September 2014 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court
No. 4, Nagpur enhancing the monthly maintenance amount
already granted to the respondents, has been questioned in
this revision.

I have carefully gone through the impugned order.
On perusal of the impugned order, I do not see any illegality
or perversity having been committed by the learned Judge of
the Family Court. It has been rightly observed that certain
deductions from the salary of the applicant were voluntary
and at his instance and the same were not statutory
deductions. The approach of the Family Court is not against
any provisions of law or rather, I would say, it is entirely
consistent with the settled principles of law.

In the circumstances, the revision application
deserves to be rejected.

Revision Application stands dismissed. No costs.

JUDGE

joshi

Certificate

I certify that this order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed order.

Uploaded by : H S Joshi, PS to Hon'ble Judge

Uploaded on : 7.10.2016