

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.6632 OF 2014

[Natthu Ganpat Khade and others .vs. The State of Maharashtra and others]
 with

WRIT PETITION NO.6652 OF 2014

[Uday Laxmanrao Tayde and others .vs. The State of Maharashtra and others]

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri B.G. Kulkarni, counsel for the petitioners,
 Shri N.R. Patil, A.G.P. for the respondent nos.1 and 2,
 Shri J.B. Kasat and Shri Nalin Majithia, counsel for the respondent no.3.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATED : MARCH 15, 2016.

Since the issue involved in these writ petitions is identical, they are heard together and are decided by this common order.

By these writ petitions, the petitioners have sought a direction to the State Government to frame the policy for absorption of the part time instructors that have served in the middle schools with the strength of 105 students. Consequently, the petitioners have challenged the advertisement issued by the Zilla Parishad, Yavatmal for appointment of part time instructors.

Shri Patil, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2 has tendered a pursis in the court today. The same is accepted on record. It is stated in the pursis that the government has framed the policy in regard to the appointment of the part time instructors like the petitioners by the Government Resolution dated 7.10.2015. It is stated that the petitioners would now be governed by the Government Resolution dated 7.10.2015.

Shri Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the said development, the cause of filing the writ petitions would not survive. It is, however, stated that if the petitioners are aggrieved by the Government Resolution, they would take appropriate steps for challenging the same.

In view of the statements recorded herein-above, we dispose of the writ petitions, with no order as to costs. The points raised in the petitions are however kept open.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Gulande