

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION No. 5781 OF 2014

Mah. Rajya Anganwadi Balwadi Karmachari Union (AITUC) Buldhana
 through its President Shir Janganprasad s/o Halkeram Mourya

-Vrs.-

State of Mah. Through its Secretary, Woman and Child Development Deptt.
 Mantralaya, Mumbai and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Ms. Bhavana M. Kasare, counsel for petitioner.
 Mr. Thakre, AGP for respondent no. 1
 Mr. G.G. Mishra and Smt. I.L. Bodade, counsel for R-3 & 4.
 Mr. P.S. Khubalkar, counsel for R- 5, 6,8,9 & 10.

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI AND P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : 6th JANUARY, 2016

It is the contention of the petitioner that the respondent Zilla Parishad is not required to make appointments on compassionate ground in view of the government resolution dated 6.12.2010. Therefore, it is contended that the appointments made on compassionate grounds by the respondent Zilla Parishad are not in accordance with law.

Perusal of the Government Resolution dated 6.12.2010 would reveal that it only provides for relaxation regarding age criteria and reducing the period for clearing typing examination from two years to six months from the date of appointment.

On the contrary, perusal of the Government

Resolution dated 17.10.1989 would reveal that the provisions of making compassionate appointment on certain grounds have been made applicable *mutatis mutandis* even to the Zilla Parishads. In that view of the matter, the contentions as raised by the petitioner are without substance.

In any case, as to whether the present petitioner would be entitled to file the present petition or not is a serious issue. If any person is aggrieved by appointment of the respondents, such a person would be in a position to challenge the same. The petition is, therefore, without merit and as such is dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Hirekhan