

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Application [ABA] No.663 of 2016

(Nitin s/o Dhananjay Khomborkar

vs.

State of Maharashtra, through P.S.O. Ranapratap Nagar, Nagpur)

=====

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

=====

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Shri Anil Mardikar, Senior Advocate with Shri S.V. Sirpurkar,
Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri S.S. Doifode, A.P.P. for the Non-Applicant/State.*

CORAM : S.B. SHUKRE, J.
DATE : 20th OCTOBER, 2016.

Heard the learned Senior Counsel for the applicant
and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

Perused the F.I.R. and the reply filed by the
prosecution.

This application has been filed on expressing an
apprehension that the offence under Section 67-A of the
Information and Technology Act, 2000 is likely to be added
against the applicant in the present crime and considering the
same to be reasonable, this Court had granted interim
anticipatory bail to the applicant. Now the reply of the
prosecution shows that no indication is given regarding
possibility of addition of the offence punishable under Section
67-A of the Information and Technology Act. The reply
appears to be silent on this aspect in the matter. It is also not

stated that the apprehension so expressed by the applicant is baseless.

In these circumstances, I am of the view that this application deserves to be allowed by assuming that the apprehension so expressed by the applicant is not well founded. Hence, the order.

The application is allowed and the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant by this Court on 6th October, 2016 is hereby confirmed on the same conditions.

The application is disposed of.

**sdw*

JUDGE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I certify that this order uploaded is a true and correct copy of the original signed order.

Uploaded by: S.D. Waghmare
P.A. to the Hon'ble Judge.

Uploaded on : 20/10/2016