

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.612/2016

Shila w/o Vinod Lothe

...Versus...

**State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child
Development, Mantralaya, Mumbai 32 and others**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri H.M. Bobade, Advocate for petitioner
Shri A.M. Balpande, AGP for respondent no.1
Shri A.Y. Kapgate, Advocate for respondent no.3

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 10.06.2016

The only prayer made by the petitioner in the instant petition is for a direction to the respondent – Zilla Parishad to decide the representation of the petitioner.

Shri Kapgate, the learned Counsel for the respondent – Zilla Parishad states, on instructions, by relying on the Pursis tendered on behalf of the respondent no.3 that the representation of the petitioner is decided and her claim is rejected.

In view of the aforesaid statement, it appears that the cause for filing the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

The writ petition is disposed of with no order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE