

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5914/2016
 (MANOHARBHAI SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL ARMORI & OTHERS **VERSUS** STATE OF
 MAHARASHTRA)

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A. Parchure, counsel for the petitioners.
 Ms T. Khan, A.G.P. to the R-1 to 3.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : NOVEMBER 15, 2016.

Shri Parchure, the learned counsel for the petitioners, states that an identical issue like the one involved in this writ petition was involved in Writ Petition No.5913 of 2016 and this court has, by the judgment dated 15.11.2016, partly allowed the writ petition and has directed the Education Officer to decide the proposal of the concerned petitioners in the said writ petition on merits within a period of three months. The learned counsel seeks a similar order in this writ petition on parity.

Ms T. Khan, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the Education Officer, does not dispute the factual statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners. It is fairly admitted that a similar writ petition is partly allowed by this Court and the Education Officer is directed to re-decide the proposal in accordance with law, within three months.

In view of the statements recorded hereinabove and for the reasons recorded in the judgment dated 15.11.2016 in Writ Petition No.5913 of 2016, the writ petition is partly allowed. The impugned order is quashed and set aside. The Education Officer is directed to decide the proposal of the petitioner no.3 on merits in accordance with law within a period of three months. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE