

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Civil Application NO.1852/2015 in Company Petition No.22/2013

(Suvidha Infoserve Pvt. Ltd. ..vs.. Rajaram Bhalchandra Bhale)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. Dhande, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Naidu, Advocate for intervenor.

CORAM : A. B. CHAUDHARI, J.
DATE : JANUARY 22, 2016

Heard learned counsel for the intervenor.

Mr. Dhande, learned counsel for the petitioner, vehemently opposed the application on number of grounds. He submitted that the application is not tenable in the teeth of the provisions of the Companies Act which do not even now provide for interdiction by any other person than the categories of person mentioned in the provisions of Section 454 and 457 of the Companies Act.

In my opinion, the submissions made by Mr. Dhande to oppose the application do not sound legal and proper because the applicant submits that he has purchased the property in respect of which the Liquidator has stuck a notice on the property with an intention to take possession and symbolic possession has allegedly been taken.

In view of above, Civil Application No. 1852/2015 is allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out within a period of one week.

Company Petition No.22/2013 be listed on 05.02.2016.

JUDGE

kahale