

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPA) NO. 633 OF 2016
IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 356 OF 2016

(Pradip s/o Parimal Biswas vs. The State of Maharashtra, PSO, Aheri, Dist. Gadchiroli)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.
NOVEMBER 30, 2016.**

Shri R.D. Khade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Doifode, learned APP for the non-applicant.

Perused the evidence of the prosecutrix. The effort is to demonstrate that there is variance between her statement/ report and her deposition before the Court.

The learned APP has submitted that on all material aspects of the prosecutrix remained consistent.

A perusal of evidence shows that the prosecutrix has spoken about the necessary ingredients.

No case is, therefore, made out for suspending sentence. Application is rejected. No costs. However, considering the age of the applicant, we expedite hearing of the appeal.

JUDGE

JUDGE