

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.641 OF 2016

Veena w/o Satish Kapse and ors.

-vs-

State of Maharashtra, PSO Imamwada, Nagpur

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri P. S. Tiwari, Advocate for applicants.
Shri R. S. Nayak, APP for respondent/State.

**CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &
A.S.CHANDURKAR, JJ.**

DATE : September 15, 2016

Heard Advocate Shri Tiwari for applicants and learned
APP for respondent/State.

Learned APP points out that this Court has not issued
notices in this matter.

We find that because of hand loan and private dispute,
police complaint under Section 420 read with Section 34 of
the Indian Penal Code appears to have been lodged.
Complainant and one of the accused persons are present in
Court. Applicant No.3 is not available. Complainant and
applicant No.1 state that matter is amicably settled out of
Court between the parties.

In view of joint statement and request made by parties
identified by their Advocate, we make Rule absolute in terms
of prayer clause (1).

JUDGE

JUDGE

-: C E R T I F I C A T E :-

“ I certify that this Judgment/order uploaded is a true and correct copy of the original signed Judgment/order.”

Uploaded by :

Asmita A. Bhandakkar
Personal Assistant

Uploaded on :
16/09/2016