

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPP) NO. 1222 OF 2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 124 OF 2016
(Satish Ramesh Channe Vs. Smt. Sangita Satish Channe & another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R. V. Gillurkar, Advocate for the applicant.

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 20, 2016

None for the non-applicants, though duly
served.

Learned Counsel for the applicant submits
that the Court did not consider the settlement deed
dated 13/3/2013 while passing the impugned order.

In my opinion, the settlement deed dated
13/3/2013 had no bearing upon the grounds taken in
the application filed for condoning the delay. Besides,
that was also not the argument when Criminal
Application (APL) No. 124 of 2016 was prosecuted.
Therefore, no case has been made out for reviewing the
order dated 02/8/2016.

The application stands dismissed.

JUDGE

CERTIFICATE

“I certify that this order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed order.”

Uploaded by : W. W. Lichade

Uploaded on : 21/9/2016