

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4886/2016

Sau. Gopibai w/o Thakur Shelekar

...Versus...

State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Muhammad Ateeque, Advocate for petitioner
Shri S.J. Kadu, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3
Shri S.O. Ahmed, Advocate for respondent nos.6 and 7

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 18.11.2016

Since the petitioner has an alternate remedy under the provisions of Section 53 (2-A) of the Maharashtra Village Panchayats Act, if the Panchayat fails to take action on the application of the petitioner for removal of encroachment, the learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would make an appropriate application before the Collector under the said provisions. The learned Counsel seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.

Permission is granted. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn. The points raised in the petition are kept open.

JUDGE

JUDGE