

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.569 OF 2016

Vandana Purushottam Bhoge

..VS..

State of Maharashtra and ors

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court orders or directions
and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri N.A. Badar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri S.S. Doifode, Addll. Public Prosecutor for the respondents/State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
KUM. INDIRA K. JAIN, JJ.**

DATED : NOVEMBER 29, 2016.

Heard learned counsel Shri N.A. Badar for
the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor
Shri S.S. Doifode for the respondents/State.

Without prejudice to his other contentions,
learned counsel Shri N.A. Badar for the petitioner
submits that if order of categorization is passed at the
earliest, grievance of the petitioner may be redressed.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor Shri
S.S. Doifode for the respondents/State fairly states that
one of the authorities has recommended categorization
under Category 1-B, while looking to the nature of
offence, the other authorities have recommended
categorization under Category 2-C of 2010 Guidelines.

Learned counsel Shri N.A. Badar for the petitioner disputes this. According to him, 1978 Guidelines are applicable. We keep that contention open.

We direct the competent authority to pass final orders on categorization of the petitioner within a period of three months from today.

With these directions and keeping all rival contentions open, we dispose of the criminal writ petition. No costs.

Copy of this order be furnished to learned counsel for the parties to be act upon.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!

C E R T I F I C A T E

I certify that this Order/Judgment uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed Order.

Uploaded by : Bhushan R.Wankhede.
(Personal Assistant)

Uploaded on :- 29/11/2016

...../-