

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.145 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4496 OF 2013 (D)

Kunda Vitthalrao Khune
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.146 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4457 OF 2013 (D)

Vaishali Narayanrao Lambat
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.147 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4468 OF 2013 (D)

Pushpa Marotrao Padole
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.148 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4512 OF 2013 (D)

Shilpa Diliprao Choudhari
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.149 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4563 OF 2013 (D)

Varsha Sudhakarro Bobhate
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.150 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4526 OF 2013 (D)

Usha Ramaji Mandade
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.151 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4510 OF 2013 (D)

Kalpana Deorao Sakharkar
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.152 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4522 OF 2013 (D)

Vandana Narayanrao Raghatate
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.153 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4513 OF 2013 (D)

Anjana Namdeorao Deshmukh
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.154 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4519 OF 2013 (D)

Rupali Sureshraoji Waghmare
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.155 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4511 OF 2013 (D)

Kavita Ramdas Zade
-vs-
Uday Choudhari and ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri V. A. Patait, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri P. D. Meghe, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Shri K. L. Dharmadhikari, AGP for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

CORAM : A.S.CHANDURKAR, J.
DATE : JUNE 21, 2016

In these contempt petitions, it is the grievance of each petitioner that the directions issued by this Court in W.P.No.4510 of 2013 with connected writ petitions dated 04/10/2013 have not been complied with. As per the direction issued in these writ petitions the Zilla Parishad, Wardha was directed to submit a proposal to the Divisional Commissioner, Nagpur through the Collector, Wardha in the matter of regularisation of the services of these petitioners for being processed in terms of the Government Resolution holding the field. Till said exercise was completed, the petitioners were directed to be continued in service. In view of the grievance regarding non-compliance of these directions, this Court had issued notice before admission to the respondents.

Shri P. D. Meghe, the learned counsel for the respondent No.1

and Shri K. L. Dharmadhikari, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent Nos.2 to 4 state that during pendency of the present proceedings fresh process of selection was undertaken in which the petitioners had participated. Reference is made to the communication dated 16/06/2016 issued by the respondent No.2 to the respondent No.1. Copy of the said communication is taken on record of Contempt Petition No.145/2014 and marked as 'A' for identification. As per this communication, the services of each petitioner could not be regularised.

Considering the fact that the process of regularisation was undertaken in which the petitioners had participated, there is no further reason to keep the present proceedings pending. The order passed in Writ Petition No.4510/2013 dated 04/10/2013 stands complied with. In view of aforesaid the contempt petitions are disposed of. Needless to state that if the petitioners are aggrieved by their non-selection, they are free to take appropriate steps to challenge the same if so advised.

JUDGE