

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.427 OF 2016

Pralhad Omkar s/o Bansilal Omkar, Warud, Amravati

-vs-

State of Maharashtra, Thr. PSO Kalamna, Nagpur and anr.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri Sk. Sabahatulla Advocate for applicant.

Shri N. B. Jawade, APP for non-applicant No.1/State.

**CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &
A.S.CHANDURKAR, JJ.**

DATE : AUGUST 20, 2016

Heard.

Merely because respondent No.2-Complainant has not handed over mobile to police for investigation in alleged offences under Sections 66(a), 67, and 67(a) of Information Technology Act, that does not mean that powers under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code need to be exercised. It is open to respondent No.1 to proceed in the matter in accordance with law.

However, if the applicant feels that he is being persecuted, we grant him liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

With these observations and liberty we dispose of the criminal application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

-: C E R T I F I C A T E :-

“ I certify that this Judgment/order uploaded is a true and correct copy of the original signed Judgment/order.”

Uploaded by :

Asmita A. Bhandakkar
Personal Assistant

Uploaded on :
20/08/2016