

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 404 OF 2016

(Smt. Urmila w/o Randhir Singh & 4 others Vs. The State of Maharashtra)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders or directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri M. A. Qureshi, Advocate for the applicants.
 Shri V. P. Gangane, A.P.P. for the State.
 Shri S. D. Charde, Advocate for the intervenor.

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.
DATED : SEPTEMBER 7, 2016

Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State assisted by learned Counsel for the complainant.

Considering the allegations made in the first information report as against these applicants, I am of the view that their custodial interrogation would not be required. The allegations, at this stage, appear to be general in nature insofar as the offences punishable under Section 467, 468 and 498-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and also under Sections 3 and 4 of the Prevention of Dowry Act are concerned. The allegation pertaining to the offence punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code is mainly against the husband of the complainant, who is presently in jail of Australia. Therefore, I am of the view that these applicants deserve to be granted anticipatory bail. Hence, the order.

The application is allowed and the interim bail granted to the applicants by this Court on 04/7/2016 is hereby confirmed on the same conditions.

JUDGE

CERTIFICATE

“I certify that this order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed order.”

Uploaded by : W. W. Lichade

Uploaded on : 15/9/2016