

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4547/2016

(MOHD.TOFIQUE BADRUL HASSAN SIDDIQUE & OTHERS **VERSUS** THE DIVISIONAL JOINT
 REGISTRAR, COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES, NAGPUR & OTHERS)

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.S. Paliwal, counsel for the petitioners.
 Shri Nikhil Joshi, A.G.P. for the R-1 to 3.
 Shri C.P. Chandurkar, counsel for the R-4.
 Shri P.J. Mehta, counsel for the R-5.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 2, 2016.

By this writ petition, the petitioners seek a direction against the respondent nos.1 to 3 to seize the records of the respondent no.5 under the provisions of Section 80 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960. Some other ancillary prayers are also made in the writ petition.

Shri Joshi, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3 has tendered an affidavit-in-reply of the aforesaid respondents in the court, today. The same is accepted on record. It is stated in paragraph 4 of the reply that the record from Chankapur colony is already seized and the record from Wanadongri, Hingna is in the process of being seized. It is stated that the copy of the panchnama, dated 08.11.2016 in respect of the seizure of the record from Chankapur is annexed to the reply.

It appears from the statements made in the affidavit-in-reply that the respondent nos.1 to 3 are taking appropriate action in the matter of seizure of the record.

Hence, by accepting the statements made on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3 in paragraph 4 of the affidavit-in-reply, we dispose of the writ petition with no order as to costs. The respondent nos.1 to 3 may make an endeavour to complete the process as early as possible.

Order accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE