

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3775 OF 2015

(Subhash s/o Bhaurao Bhoyar vs. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri A. Bandre, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri P.D. Meghe, Advocate for respondents.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATED : APRIL 11, 2016

Heard Adv. Bandre for petitioner and
Adv. Meghe for respondents.

Adv. Bandre is relying upon the view of
Division Bench of this Court taken at Aurangabad in
Writ Petition No.9467/2014 and other connected
matters on 11/12/2014 to urge that as lease period of
petitioner did not fall short and his application was in
accordance with stipulation to that effect contained in
advertisement, the petitioner needs to be issued a
letter of intent.

Adv. Meghe for respondents points out
that the petitioners before this Court at Aurangabad
had approached Hon'ble Apex Court in special leave
petition. Similarly, Oil Companies aggrieved by

adjudication at Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2812/2014 and other connected matters on 9/10/2014, had also approached Hon'ble Apex Court. The Hon'ble Apex Court has in view of policy decision of Ministry dated 21/1/2015 disposed of special leave petitions and Oil Companies are permitted to implement that policy decision. He makes a statement that accordingly entitlement of petitioner along with others (if any), who may become eligible for consideration because of said policy decision, shall be examined afresh.

In view of these developments, it is apparent that support being taken by petitioner from judgment delivered at Aurangabad cannot now be looked into.

Hence, in view of statement made by Adv. Meghe, we dispose of the petition as infructuous. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj