

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) 374 OF 2016

(Vinit Padmakar Nagrale Vs. The State of Maharashtra)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S. M. Murugam, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. R. S. Nayak, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.
DATED : 23 JUNE, 2016

Heard.

On going through the allegations made in the F.I.R. and other material placed on record, I find that custodial interrogation of the applicant may be required in this case for making effective investigation in as much as forgery of some documents alleged to be committed needs to be probed. Therefore, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

The application is rejected.

JUDGE