

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3227 OF 2016**

(GANESH BHIMRAO CHAVAN & ANR...VS..DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (SUPPLY), AMRAVATI & 3  
OTH.)

-----  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders  
-----

Court's or Judge's orders

**CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.**

**DATED : JUNE 08, 2016.**

Heard Shri A.V.Band, advocate for the petitioners  
and Shri A.D.Sonak, A.G.P. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Considering the controversy involved in the  
petition and the order which is proposed to be passed, the  
matter is being disposed finally without issuing notice to the  
respondent No.4 as the order which is being passed will not  
cause any prejudice to the respondent No.4.

The petitioners made complaint against the  
respondent No.4 to the concerned authorities regarding the  
alleged illegalities in running of fair price shop by the  
respondent No.4. According to the petitioners, the Tahsildar-  
Competent Authority, after conducting the enquiry submitted  
report dated 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2015 to the District Supply Officer  
on the basis of which the licence of the respondent No.4 was  
cancelled. The respondent No.4 has challenged the order  
passed by the District Supply Officer in revision and has also  
prayed for an interim order. It is the grievance of the  
petitioners that they had filed caveat before the revisional

authority, however, the respondent No.4 did not implead the petitioners and the Deputy Commissioner (Supply) has granted interim order in favour of the respondent No.4 without hearing the petitioners and without considering the written notes of arguments filed by the petitioners.

In my view, the interests of justice would be subserved by directing the Deputy Commissioner (Supply) to dispose the revision application filed by the respondent No.4 till 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2016.

The petition is disposed with above directions.

The petitioners undertake to serve the copy of this order on the respondent No.1-Deputy Commissioner (Supply) on the next date. The learned A.G.P. shall also intimate this order to the respondent No.1-Deputy Commissioner (Supply).

JUDGE