

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 413 OF 2016

(Smt. Neeta w/o Amrut Chawdagor and another vs. State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri S.D. Harode, Advocate for petitioners.

Shri A.S. Fulzele, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent nos.1 to 3.

Shri M.M. Sudame, Advocate for respondent nos.4
and 5.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATED : JULY 21, 2016

Heard for some time.

Adv. Harode as also Adv. Sudame
appearing for contesting parties have no objection
and in fact, they suggest that a competent Mediator
should be appointed to look into the dispute.

Shri Fulzele, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor appearing for respondent nos.1 to 3, does
not object to appointment of Mediator. He,
however, submits that in that situation, present
proceedings need not be kept pending and if
mediation fails, liberty should be given to aggrieved
party to proceed further in accordance with law.

We find substance in the contentions of learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

Accordingly, we direct Registry of this Court to appoint Mediator for mediation in dispute between the parties.

Though only for the purpose of mediation, the present proceedings shall be looked into, after conclusion thereof, the parties shall be free to file appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

With the above observations and directions, we dispose of criminal writ petition.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj