

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 37 OF 2016

Sau. Priya w/o Rajivsingh
 -vs-
 Rajivsingh s/o Rambahadursingh

 Office notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

 Mrs. Neeta Jog, counsel for the appellant.
 Mr. Sudeep Jaiswal, counsel for the respondent.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK &
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATE : 23.09.2016.

The learned counsel for the parties have tendered a compromise *pursis* in this Court today. The same is accepted on record and marked as "Exhibit-Z".

The compromise *pursis* is signed by the appellant and the respondent. So also, the same is signed by the counsel for the appellant and the counsel for the respondent. The parties are personally present today, so also, their counsel.

We have perused the terms of the compromise *pursis*. They appear to be just and reasonable. We have also asked the parties, who are present in the Court today, as to whether they are *ad idem* on the terms mentioned in the compromise *pursis*. Both the parties have stated that they are *ad idem* over the terms in the compromise *pursis*.

Since we find that the terms of the compromise *pursis* are just and reasonable and the parties have decided to dissolve the marriage solemnized between them on 25/04/2012 in terms of the terms-(a) to (i) in the compromise *pursis*, that are just and reasonable, the Family Court Appeal No.37 of 2016 is disposed of in terms of the compromise *pursis*. The marriage between the parties stands dissolved in terms of the compromise *pursis*. The decree be drawn accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I certify that this Order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed Order.

Uploaded by : G.S.Khunte,
P.A.to Hon'ble Judge

Uploaded on : 26/09/2016