

IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3131/2016

(Seema Rahul Malve and another vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr P.A. Gode, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr Nitin Rode, Assistant Government Pleader
for Respondent No.1

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A.NAIK &
MRS.SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : 18th July, 2016

Heard.

By this Writ Petition, the petitioners challenge the proclamation, issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer, inviting applications for appointments to the post of Police Patil.

We find that the Petition is premature. If the respondents are not accepting the application of the petitioner and are proceeding with the selection process without following the law laid down by this Court in the judgments reported in, 1999(3) Mh.L.J.594 : (Arun vs.State) and 2015 (4) Mh.L.J. 799: (Rajesh vs.State), the petitioners would be free to challenge the selection process after the appointment to the post of Police Patil, is made. It is likely that the respondents would rectify their mistake and consider the candidature of the

petitioners. The petition is premature.

Hence, we dispose of the Writ Petition, with
no order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

safare

C E R T I F I C A T E

“ I certify that this Judgment/Order uploaded is a true
and correct copy of original signed Judgment/Order.”

Uploaded by: N.B.Sahare

Uploaded on: 19/07/2016.