

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3670 OF 2014

Anil v. Loksewa Shikshan and ors

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri P. P. Thakre, Advocate for petitioner
Shri Nitin Khamborkar, Advocate for respondents no. 1 and 2
Shri H. R. Dhumale, Asst. Govt. Pleader for respondent no. 3

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : JULY 11, 2016

Shri P. P. Thakre, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Shri Nitin Khamborkar, learned Advocate for respondents no. 1 and 2 point out the compromise petition filed at Stamp No. 7889 of 2016 which incorporates the terms of settlement between the petitioner and the respondents no. 1 and 2. The learned Advocate for the petitioner; learned Advocate for the respondents no. 1 and 2 and learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent no. 3 state that by the compromise between the private parties, the State Exchequer is not burdened.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed in terms of the compromise as recorded in the compromise petition. In the circumstances, parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE

joshi