

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Application [BA] No.372 of 2016

(Ajay s/o Deorao Ingole

vs.

The State of Maharashtra, through its P.S.O. Ajani, District Nagpur)

=====

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

=====

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri C.R. Thakur, Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri R.S. Nayak, A.P.P. for the Non-Applicant/State.

CORAM : S.B. SHUKRE, J.

DATE : 5th JULY, 2016.

Heard the learned Counsel of the applicant and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

I have gone through the F.I.R. dated 10/07/2015
and the supplementary statement dated 09/08/2015 and
31/10/2015 of the complainant/prosecutrix. Considering these
statements made at different time, an impression is created that
the prosecutrix herself is not sure as to who is the real culprit or
how many culprits are there. In this situation, this would be a fit
case for grant of bail to the applicant. Hence, the following
order.

1. The application is allowed.
2. It is directed that the applicant be released on bail
on his furnishing a P.R. Bond in the sum of
Rs.15,000/- together with one solvent surety in the
like sum on the conditions that the applicant shall

attend the court dates regularly, shall co-operate with the trial Court in expeditious disposal of the case and shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses.

3. The application is disposed of as such.

JUDGE

**sdw*