

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4038 OF 2005

(Sau. Mangala w/o Ramkrishnarao Kamble vs. State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri Vaidya, Advocate h/f Shri Anand Parchure,
Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. T. Khan, Assistant Government Pleader for the
respondent nos.1 and 5.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
KUM. INDIRA JAIN, JJ.

DATED : OCTOBER 5, 2016

Shri Vaidya, the learned Counsel holding for
Shri Anand Parchure, the learned Counsel for the
petitioner, states that the case papers were returned to
the petitioner long back and the Counsel for the
petitioner has no instructions in the matter.

It appears from the aforesaid statement that
the petitioner is not desirous of prosecuting the writ
petition, more so, when no other Counsel has appeared
on behalf of the petitioner in the Court today. Hence,
the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this order uploaded is a true
and correct copy of original signed order.

Uploaded by :

Kamal H. Jeswani
Private Secretary

Uploaded on :

6/10/2016