

IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2246 /2016

(Ramesh Akoshi Darokar vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. A.S.Kilor, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Nitin Rode, Assistant Govt. Pleader for Respondent Nos.1 to 3
Mr. A.J.Thakkar, Advocate for Respondent No.4

CORAM : **SMT. VASANTI A.NAIK &**
MRS.SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : **21st June, 2016**

Heard.

By this Writ Petition, the petitioner had challenged the notification of the State Government, dated 2nd April 2016, postponing the elections to the Market Committee, by a period of six months.

Shri A.S.Kilor, the learned counsel for the petitioner, fairly states that even if it is considered that the term of the office of the petitioner was extended by a period of six months, the term would come to an end on 28.8.2016.

Shri Nitin Rode, the learned Assistant Government Pleader, appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3 states on instructions, that the elections to the Market Committee would be concluded on or before 30th September, 2016. It is stated that till the elections are concluded, the

respondent no. 4 would continue to administer the Agriculture Produce market Committee. It is stated that the respondent nos.1 to 3 would not appoint private persons as Administrators till the elections are concluded and the respondent no.4 would continue to work as an Administrator.

In view of the statements made on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the grievance of the petitioner would stand substantially redressed.

In view of the statements recorded herein-above, we dispose of the Writ Petition by accepting the statements made on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3 that would be binding on the respondent nos. 1 to 3, with no order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted, on request.

JUDGE

JUDGE

sahare