

-
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION No.264 OF 2016

Roopak Eknath Jambhulkar

-Vrs.-

The State of Mah. Through its Secretary, Mumbai and 1 others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. S.S. Meshram, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Ukey, AGP for respondent nos.1 & 2.

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI AND V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATED : 2nd AUGUST, 2016

In view of the contentions made in the writ petition we do not find that the case is made out to interfere in writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution.

In any case, the petitioner has alternate remedy available of either to file a private complaint or proceedings under section 156(3) of Code of Criminal Procedure.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to avail alternate remedy.

JUDGE

JUDGE

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this Judgment uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed Order.

Uploaded by : R.B. Hirekhan.

Uploaded on:03-08-2016.

P.A.