

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2632 OF 2014.

(SAU. MEENA ASHOK INGLE..VS..SAU. URMILA ARUN JICHKAR & ANR.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : JANUARY 04, 2016.

Shri U.M.Aurangabadkar, advocate for the petitioner states that the civil suit in which the impugned order was passed is disposed of and therefore, the petition has become infructuous.

Shri S.D.Dharaskar, advocate for the respondent No.1 and Ms Nidhi Singhvi, advocate holding for Shri S.S. Shingne, advocate for the respondent No.2 do not dispute the factual position.

The petition is **disposed of** as infructuous. In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

Needless to say that the legality of the impugned order has not been examined by this Court.

JUDGE