

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2055/2016**

**Sudhir Daulatchand Kothari and another**

**...Versus...**

**State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary Cooperation and Marketing**  
**Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32 and others**

-----  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders  
-----

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Anjan De, Advocate for petitioners  
Mrs. B.H. Dangre, G.P. for respondent nos.1 to 3  
Shri V.D. Bastian, Advocate for respondent no.4

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND**  
**V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.**

**DATE : 05.04.2016**

By this writ petition, the petitioners had challenged the postponement of the elections and had sought a direction to the respondent no.1 to consider the proposal of the Market Committee for extending the period of the Market Committee till the holding of the fresh elections.

Mrs. Dangre, the learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3 states, on instructions, that the term of the present Market Committee would be extended till the fresh elections are conducted. It is stated that till the new Body takes charge, after fresh elections, the Members on the present Market Committee could continue.

In view of the statement made by the learned Government Pleader, the learned Counsel for the petitioners has tendered a pursis in the Court today. It is stated that in view of the statement made by the learned Government Pleader, the petitioners are not desirous of pressing the relief claimed in the instant petition.

In view of the statements recorded herein above, we dispose of the writ petition by accepting the statement made by the learned Government Pleader on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3. The election to the Market Committee should be concluded by the respondent nos.1 to 3 within a period of six months.

Order accordingly. No costs.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

Wadkar