

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.1782/2016

Mrs. Nandini Pravin Thete

...Versus...

State of Maharashtra, through Secretary, Law & Home Department, Mantralaya,
Mumbai – 32 and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mrs. S.W. Deshpande, Advocate for petitioner
Mrs. B.H. Dangre, G.P. for respondents

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
V.M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 21.03.2016

By this petition, the petitioner challenges the selection procedure for recruitment of law officers (Group-A) as per the advertisement, dated 1.3.2016 on the ground that the State Government is not conducting any written examination for the candidates seeking appointment in Mumbai and Amravati region, whereas a written test is contemplated for the candidates seeking appointment as law officers in the Nagpur region. It is stated that the action on the part of the respondents in conducting the written examination only for the law officers to be appointed in the Nagpur region is arbitrary and discriminatory.

Mrs. Dangre, the learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents states, on instructions,

that the law officers would not be appointed in any region in the State of Maharashtra without conducting a written examination for gauging the basic knowledge of the candidates that are desirous of seeking appointment as law officers. It is stated that a written test would be conducted for the candidates seeking appointment as law officers in Nagpur region and also in Mumbai and Amravati region.

In view of the statement of the learned Government Pleader, there is no reason to proceed with the writ petition any longer. The writ petition is, therefore, disposed of by accepting the statement made by the learned Government Pleader on behalf of the State Government.

Order accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Wadkar