

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition [wp] No. 1874 of 2016

(A.P.M.C. Chikhli, Buldhana vs. Tirupati Ginning & Agro Industries & ors.)

=====

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

=====

Court's or Judge's Orders

=====

Mr. S.A. Chaudhari Advocate for the Petitioner.

=====

...

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.
DATE : MARCH 22, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in this writ petition an interlocutory order has been challenged and during the pendency of this writ petition, the main application in which the interlocutory order was passed, itself has been finally disposed of, and as such, this writ petition has become infructuous.

Learned AGP for respondents 2 and 3 after waiver of service is present.

Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

JUDGE

/TA/