

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 1954 & 1955 OF 2015**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 2504 OF 2014**

*(Narayan Mahadeo Nile & another Vs. Additional Commissioner, Nagpur & others)*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S. D. Khatri, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Shri S. B. Ahirkar, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.  
Shri A. Shelat, Advocate for respondent No.5.

**CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.**  
**DATED : 30 MARCH, 2016**

**CAW NO. 1954/2015 :**

Heard.

It is true that the application does not give any specific reason for the delay occurred in this case. What is stated in the application is that two days' delay is not intentional or deliberate.

Nevertheless, considering what has been submitted orally on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners could not contact the learned Counsel for bona fide reasons earlier, and also the fact that the delay is of two days, I am of the view that not much inconvenience would be caused to the respondents and, therefore, the application deserves to be allowed.

The application is allowed.

Delay is condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs.500/- to the proposed legal representatives of respondent No.5 within two weeks from the date of the order.

**CAW NO. 1955/2015 :**

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed. Legal representatives of respondent No.5 be brought on record within two weeks from the date of the order.

C. A. stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**