

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.1963/2016

Hemant s/o Sudhir Channakeshala

...Versus...

The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Tribal Development
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32 and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri C.V. Sawalkar, Advocate for petitioner
Shri V.P. Gangane, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2
Shri Abhay Sambre, Advocate for respondent no.3

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : 12.07.2016

By this writ petition, the petitioner has sought a direction against the respondent – Scrutiny Committee to decide the caste claim of the petitioner, within a time-frame. The petitioner has sought a direction against the respondent no.3 to protect the services of the petitioner, till his caste claim is decided.

Shri Gangane, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the Scrutiny Committee states, on instructions, that the caste claim of the petitioner is pending before the Scrutiny Committee and the vigilance enquiry is complete. It is stated that the claim of the petitioner would be decided within a reasonable time.

In view of the statement made by the learned Assistant Government Pleader, we allow the writ petition. We direct the Scrutiny Committee to decide the caste claim of the petitioner, as early as possible and positively within nine months. The respondent no.3 is directed to protect the services of the petitioner, till his caste claim is decided.

Order accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Wadkar