

IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1798 /2016

(HDFC Bank Ltd. Mumbai :Through Its Authorized Officer vs. Government of India, and five others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

Mr.S.D.Ingole, Advocate for the petitioner
Mrs. Mugdha Chandurkar, Advocate for respondents 1 ,2 and 3
Mr Sudhir Puranik, Advocate for respondent no.3
Mr.Hemant Chandurkar, Advocate for respondent nos. 4 to 6

CORAM : **SMT. VASANTI A.NAIK &
MRS.SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : **10th June, 2016**

Heard.

By this Writ Petition, the petitioner has challenged the provisional attachment order dated 8.12.2015 under the provisions of Section 5(1) of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002.

The learned counsel for the respondents state that during the pendency of the Writ Petition, the Adjudicating Authority has decided the Complaint and hence the cause for filing the Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, we dispose of the Writ Petition with no order as to costs.

The petitioner is free to avail the appropriate remedy, if it so desires. The points raised in the petitioner are kept open.

JUDGE

JUDGE

sahare