

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 344 OF 2016

IN/AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 968 OF 2016

(Rajaram Ginning & Pressing Sahakari Sanstha, Ner Vs. The State of Maharashtra & others)

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 345 OF 2016

IN/AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 969 OF 2016

(Shri Kalmeshwar Shetkari Sahakari Ginning & Pressing Sanstha, Vs. The State of Maharashtra & others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri M. V. Samarth, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms T. H. Udeshi, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
Shri F. T. Mirza, Advocate for the intervenor.

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATED : 23 MARCH, 2016

Heard Shri Mirza, learned Counsel for the applicant-intervenor, Shri Samarth, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms Udeshi, learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

The applicant was the objector. The application deserves to be allowed and it is allowed accordingly. The applicant-intervenor be added as party-intervenor to the petition.

Learned A.G.P. for the State and learned Counsel for the intervenor seek time to file reply in the matter.

The request is not opposed by learned Counsel for the petitioner. However, he submits that interim stay to

the impugned order be granted. He submits that because of operation of the impugned order, rights of the petitioner in various way are being affected and, therefore, it would be necessary that the impugned order is stayed, especially when same has been passed without giving any notice and without giving any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The prayer for grant of interim stay can be considered on the next date as one interim order passed on 17/2/2016 is already operating in favour of the petitioner.

Stand over to **05/4/2016**.

JUDGE