

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.64 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.820 OF 2014 (D)

Sharad S/o Namdeoappa Paraswar

..vs..

**Shri Nandkumar, Principal Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya,
Mumbai and ors**

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court orders or directions
and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri Anand Parchure, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri A.S. Fulzele, AGP for R-1 to 4.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
KUM. I.K. JAIN, JJ.**

DATED : JUNE 21, 2016.

Heard.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader Shri
A.S. Fulzele for respondent Nos.1 to 4 states that the order
has been complied with.

The civil application for amendment has been
moved by the petitioner. We find that effort is to challenge
the consequential order, after directions of this Court, on
merits. Such an exercise cannot be undertaken in contempt
jurisdiction. Hence, with liberty to the petitioner to file
appropriate proceedings challenging that order, we dispose
of the contempt petition. No costs.

In view of disposal of the contempt petition,
civil application is also disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!

...../-